# SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL FORM 2 Agenda Item 9



## Individual Cabinet Member Report

Report of:	Executive Director, Place
Report to:	Cabinet Member for Business, Skills and Development
Date:	12 December 2013
Subject:	Objections to a proposed Traffic Regulation Order to introduce Parking Restrictions at various junctions with Cross Lane (Crookes) and on Woodholm Road (Ecclesall)
Author of Report:	S Collier – 0114 2736209
Summary:	The report sets out the objections and other responses received to the advertised Traffic Regulation Order(TRO) to introduce parking restrictions at locations for small highway schemes being promoted by the former South West Community Assembly.

#### **Reasons for Recommendations:**

- The Traffic Regulation Order for the schemes included in this report is considered necessary to introduce parking restrictions at each of the locations with a view to resolving problems which have been brought to the attention of the City Council.
- Local Ward Councillors and officers have given due consideration to the views of all the respondents in an attempt to find acceptable solutions. The recommendations are considered to be a balanced attempt to address residents' concerns and aspirations.

#### **Recommendations:**

- Uphold in part the objections to the proposed traffic regulations on the junctions of Cross Lane with Forres Avenue, St Thomas Road and Truswell Road, Crookes and on Woodholm Road, Ecclesall and introduce the revised proposals as shown in the plans included in Appendices C-1 and C-2 to this report.
- Overrule the objections to the proposed traffic regulations on the junctions of Cross Lane with Arran Road and Forres Road and introduce the restrictions as shown in the plan included in Appendix B-2 to this report.

Page 1

- Make the Traffic Regulation Order, as amended, in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act, 1984; and
- Inform all the respondents accordingly.

Background Papers

Category of Report: OPEN

### Statutory and Council Policy Checklist

Financial Implications	
YES Cleared by: Matthew Bullock	
Legal Implications	
YES Cleared by: Deborah Eaton	
Equality of Opportunity Implications	
YES Cleared by: lan Oldershaw	
Tackling Health Inequalities Implications	
NO	
Human rights Implications	
NO	
Environmental and Sustainability implications	
NO	
Economic impact	
NO	
Community safety implications	
NO	
Human resources implications	
NO	
Property implications	
NO	
Area(s) affected	
Crookes and Ecclesall	
Relevant Cabinet Portfolio Leader	
Leigh Bramall	
Relevant Scrutiny Committee if decision called in	
Economic and Environmental Wellbeing	
Is the item a matter which is reserved for approval by the City Council?	
Press release	
YES	